### 6.30

Suppose we have a system with the following properties:

- The memory is byte addressable.
- Memory accesses are to 1-byte words (not to 4-byte words).
- Addresses are 13 bits wide.
- The cache is 4-way set associative $(E=4)$, with a 4-byte block size $(B=4)$ and eight sets $(S=8)$.

Consider the following cache state. All addresses, tags, and values are given in hexadecimal format. The Index column contains the set index for each set of four lines. The Tag columns contain the tag value for each line. The V columns contain the valid bit for each line. The Bytes $0-3$ columns contain the data for each line, numbered left to right starting with byte 0 on the left.

| Index | Tag | V | Bytes 0-3 | Tag | V | Bytes 0-3 | Tag | V | Bytes 0-3 | Tag | V | Bytes 0-3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | F0 | 1 | ED 320 A A2 | 8A | 1 | BF 80 1D FC | 14 | 1 | EF 09 86 2A | BC | 0 | 2544 6F 1A |
| 1 | BC | 0 | 03 3E CD 38 | A0 | 0 | 16 7B ED 5A | BC | 1 | 8E 4C DF 18 | E4 | 1 | FB B7 1202 |
| 2 | BC | 1 | 54 9E 1E FA | B6 | 1 | DC 81 B2 14 | 00 | 0 | B6 1F 7B 44 | 74 | 0 | 10 F 5 B 82 E |
| 3 | BE | 0 | 2F 7E 3D A8 | C0 | 1 | 2795 A4 74 | C4 | 0 | 0711 6B D8 | BC | 0 | C7 B7 AF C2 |
| 4 | 7 E | 1 | 3221 1C 2C | 8A | 1 | 22 C 2 DC 34 | BC | 1 | BA DD 37 D 8 | DC | 0 | E7 A2 39 BA |
| 5 | 98 | 0 | A9 762 BEE | 54 | 0 | BC 91 D5 92 | 98 | 1 | 80 BA 9B F6 | BC | 1 | 4816810 A |
| 6 | 38 | 0 | 5D 4D F7 DA | BC | 1 | 69 C 28 C 74 | 8A | 1 | A8 CE 7F DA | 38 | 1 | FA 93 EB 48 |
| 7 | 8A | 1 | 04 2A 32 6A | 9 E | 0 | B1 86560 E | CC | 1 | 963047 F 2 | BC | 1 | F8 1D 4230 |

A. What is the size $(C)$ of this cache in bytes?
B. The box that follows shows the format of an address (1 bit per box). Indicate (by labeling the diagram) the fields that would be used to determine the following:

CO. The cache block offset
CI. The cache set index

CT. The cache tag

6.31

Suppose that a program using the cache in Problem 6.30 references the 1-byte word at address $0 x 071 \mathrm{~A}$. Indicate the cache entry accessed and the cache byte value returned in hex. Indicate whether a cache miss occurs. If there is a cache miss, enter "-" for "Cache byte returned." Hint: Pay attention to those valid bits!
A. Address format (1 bit per box):

B. Memory reference:

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Block offset (CO) | 0 x |
| Index (CI) | 0 x |
| Cache tag (CT) | 0 x |
| Cache hit? $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ |  |
| Cache byte returned | 0 x |

### 6.32

Repeat Problem 6.31 for memory address 0x16E8.
A. Address format (1 bit per box):

B. Memory reference:

| Parameter | Value |
| :--- | :--- |
| Cache offset $(\mathrm{CO})$ | 0 x |
| Cache index $(\mathrm{CI})$ | 0 x |
| Cache tag $(\mathrm{CT})$ | 0 x |
| Cache hit? $(\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N})$ | - |
| Cache byte returned | 0 x |

6.33

For the cache in Problem 6.30, list the eight memory addresses (in hex) that will hit in set 2.
6.34

Consider the following matrix transpose routine:

```
typedef int array[4] [4];
void transpose2(array dst, array src)
{
    int i, j;
```

```
    for (i = 0; i < 4; i++) {
        for (j = 0; j < 4; j++) {
        dst[j][i] = src[i][j];
        }
        }
}
```

Assume this code runs on a machine with the following properties:

- $\operatorname{sizeof}($ int $)=4$.
- The src array starts at address 0 and the dst array starts at address 64 (decimal).
- There is a single L1 data cache that is direct-mapped, write-through, writeallocate, with a block size of 16 bytes.
- The cache has a total size of 32 data bytes, and the cache is initially empty.
- Accesses to the src and dst arrays are the only sources of read and write misses, respectively.
A. For each row and col, indicate whether the access to src [row] [col] and dst [row] [col] is a hit (h) or a miss (m). For example, reading src [0] [0] is a miss and writing dst [0] [0] is also a miss.

| dst array |  |  |  |  | src array |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Col. 0 | Col. 1 | Col. 2 | Col. 3 |  | Col. 0 | Col. 1 | Col. 2 | Col. 3 |
| Row 0 | m |  |  | - | Row 0 | m |  |  |  |
| Row 1 |  |  |  |  | Row 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Row 2 |  |  |  |  | Row 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Row 3 |  |  |  |  | Row 3 |  |  |  |  |

6.35

Repeat Problem 6.34 for a cache with a total size of 128 data bytes.

| dst array |  |  |  |  | src array |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Col. 0 | Col. 1 | Col. 2 | Col. 3 |  | Col. 0 | Col. 1 | Col. 2 | Col. 3 |
| Row 0 |  | - |  | , | Row 0 | , | - |  |  |
| Row 1 |  |  |  |  | Row 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Row 2 |  | - |  |  | Row 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Row 3 |  | - |  | - | Row 3 |  |  |  |  |

### 6.36

This problem tests your ability to predict the cache behavior of C code. You are given the following code to analyze:

```
int x[2][128];
int i;
```

```
int sum = 0;
for (i = 0; i < 128; i++) {
    sum += x[0][i] * x[1][i];
}
```

Assume we execute this under the following conditions:

- $\operatorname{sizeof(int)}=4$.
- Array x begins at memory address $0 x 0$ and is stored in row-major order.
- In each case below, the cache is initially empty.
- The only memory accesses are to the entries of the array x. All other variables are stored in registers.

Given these assumptions, estimate the miss rates for the following cases:
A. Case 1: Assume the cache is 512 bytes, direct-mapped, with 16-byte cache blocks. What is the miss rate?
B. Case 2: What is the miss rate if we double the cache size to 1,024 bytes?
C. Case 3: Now assume the cache is 512 bytes, two-way set associative using an LRU replacement policy, with 16-byte cache blocks. What is the cache miss rate?
D. For case 3, will a larger cache size help to reduce the miss rate? Why or why not?
E. For case 3, will a larger block size help to reduce the miss rate? Why or why not?
6.37

This is another problem that tests your ability to analyze the cache behavior of C code. Assume we execute the three summation functions in Figure 6.47 under the following conditions:

- sizeof $($ int $)=4$.
- The machine has a 4 KB direct-mapped cache with a 16-byte block size.
- Within the two loops, the code uses memory accesses only for the array data. The loop indices and the value sum are held in registers.
- Array a is stored starting at memory address $0 \times 08000000$.

Fill in the table for the approximate cache miss rate for the two cases $N=64$ and $N=60$.

| Function | $N=64$ | $N=60$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| sumA | - | - |
| sumB | - | - |
| sumC | - |  |

```
typedef int array_t [N] [N];
int sumA(array_t a)
{
    int i, j;
    int sum = 0;
    for (i = 0; i < N; i++)
        for (j = 0; j < N; j++) {
            sum += a[i][j];
        }
    return sum;
}
int sumB(array_t a)
{
    int i, j;
    int sum = 0;
    for (j = 0; j < N; j++)
        for (i = 0; i < N; i++) {
            sum += a[i][j];
        }
    return sum;
}
int sumC(array_t a)
{
    int i, j;
    int sum = 0;
    for (j = 0; j < N; j+=2)
        for (i = 0; i < N; i+=2) {
            sum += (a[i][j] + a[i+1][j]
                    + a[i][j+1] + a[i+1][j+1]);
        }
    return sum;
}
```

Figure 6.47 Functions referenced in Problem 6.37.
6.38

3M decides to make Post-its by printing yellow squares on white pieces of paper. As part of the printing process, they need to set the CMYK (cyan, magenta, yellow, black) value for every point in the square. 3 M hires you to determine the efficiency of the following algorithms on a machine with a 1,024-byte direct-mapped data cache with 16-byte blocks. You are given the following definitions:

```
struct point_color {
    int c;
    int m;
    int y;
    int k;
};
struct point_color square[16] [16];
int i, j;
```

Assume the following:

- $\operatorname{sizeof}($ int $)=4$.
- square begins at memory address 0 .
- The cache is initially empty.
- The only memory accesses are to the entries of the array square. Variables i and $j$ are stored in registers.

Determine the cache performance of the following code:

```
for (i = 15; i >= 0; i--){
    for (j = 15; j >= 0; j--) {
        square[i][j].c = 0;
        square[i][j].m = 0;
        square[i][j].y = 1;
        square[i][j].k = 0;
    }
}
```

A. What is the total number of writes?
B. What is the total number of writes that hit in the cache?
C. What is the hit rate?

### 6.39

Given the assumptions in Problem 6.38, determine the cache performance of the following code:

```
for (i = 15; i >= 0; i--){
    for (j = 15; j >= 0; j--) {
        square[j][i].c = 0;
        square[j][i].m = 0;
        square[j][i].y = 1;
        square[j][i].k = 0;
    }
}
```

A. What is the total number of writes?
B. What is the total number of writes that hit in the cache?
C. What is the hit rate?

### 6.40

Given the assumptions in Problem 6.38, determine the cache performance of the following code:

```
for (i = 15; i >= 0; i--) {
    for (j = 15; j >= 0; j--) {
        square[i][j].y = 1;
    }
}
for (i = 15; i >= 0; i--) {
    for (j = 15; j >= 0; j--) {
        square[i][j].c = 0;
        square[i][j].m = 0;
        square[i][j].k = 0;
    }
}
```

A. What is the total number of writes?
B. What is the total number of writes that hit in the cache?
C. What is the hit rate?

### 6.41

You are writing a new 3D game that you hope will earn you fame and fortune. You are currently working on a function to blank the screen buffer before drawing the next frame. The screen you are working with is a $640 \times 480$ array of pixels. The machine you are working on has a 32 KB direct-mapped cache with 8-byte lines. The C structures you are using are as follows:

```
struct pixel {
    char r;
    char g;
    char b;
    char a;
};
struct pixel buffer[480] [640];
int i, j;
char *cptr;
int *iptr;
```

Assume the following:

- $\operatorname{sizeof}(\operatorname{char})=1$ and $\operatorname{sizeof}($ int $)=4$.
- buffer begins at memory address 0 .
- The cache is initially empty.
- The only memory accesses are to the entries of the array buffer. Variables i, $j$, cptr, and iptr are stored in registers.

What percentage of writes in the following code will hit in the cache?

```
for (j = 639; j >= 0; j--) {
    for (i = 479; i >= 0; i--){
            buffer[i][j].r = 0;
            buffer[i][j].g = 0;
            buffer[i][j].b = 0;
            buffer[i][j].a = 0;
        }
}
```

6.42

Given the assumptions in Problem 6.41, what percentage of writes in the following code will hit in the cache?

```
char *cptr = (char *) buffer;
for (; cptr < (((char *) buffer) + 640 * 480 * 4); cptr++)
    *cptr = 0;
```


### 6.43

Given the assumptions in Problem 6.41, what percentage of writes in the following code will hit in the cache?

```
int *iptr = (int *)buffer;
for (; iptr < ((int *)buffer + 640*480); iptr++)
    *iptr = 0;
```


### 6.44

Download the mountain program from the CS:APP Web site and run it on your favorite PC/Linux system. Use the results to estimate the sizes of the caches on your system.

### 6.45

In this assignment, you will apply the concepts you learned in Chapters 5 and 6 to the problem of optimizing code for a memory-intensive application. Consider a procedure to copy and transpose the elements of an $N \times N$ matrix of type int. That is, for source matrix $S$ and destination matrix $D$, we want to copy each element $s_{i, j}$ to $d_{j, i}$. This code can be written with a simple loop,

```
void transpose(int *dst, int *src, int dim)
{
    int i, j;
```

